r	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/762,836	RISS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul C. Martin	1657
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allowance	
(1) <u>Paul C. Martin</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jan Embretson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 November 2007	Time: <u>10:30AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 94		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Para		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative was notified of a potential 112, 2nd issue in a newly added claim of a previously allowed case. The Applicants' representative agreed to the Examiner correcting the issue by Examiner's amendment and the Examiner notified the Applicant's representative that the prior Examiner's amendment would be resubmitted with the addition of the correction of Claim 94.